



Observation de la Terre par imagerie optique : de la mesure physique à l'indicateur écologique (part I)

Jean-Baptiste Féret UMR TETIS, INRAE — <u>jb.feret@teledetection.fr</u>

Apports, intérêts, limites de la télédétection pour mieux connaître la biodiversité Atelier du métaprogramme BIOSEFAIR, 14 novembre 2023

- Introduction
- Explore spatial, temporal and spectral dimensions from space
- A quick dive into the spectral space
- Current missions and forthcoming opportunities
- Earth observation and biodiversity : one approach among many
- Conclusions and perspectives

Introduction & context

The erosion of biodiversity & ecological disturbances are increasing. Need for operational methods to monitor ecosystem dynamics through time & space



Remote sensing can provide information contributing to :

- Monitor complex systems a various spatial scales (local to regional & global)
- Link Earth observation with ecological knowledge & climate data
- Feed regional / national / international statistics on biodiversity & ecosystem degradation
- Fuel higher level models and analyses integrating ecology & socio-economical perspectives

What information do we need to monitor biodiversity with EBVs ?



What does 'biodiversity' encompass?

Multidimensional concept linked to species, ecosystem functions & processes
Spatial organization & appropriate scale of analysis change with ecosystem types
→ No such 'One method / sensor / indicator fits all'
→ Measuring and monitoring biodiversity requires a diversity of indicators to cover all ecosystems and multiple dimensions of biodiversity

http://www.doc.govt.nz/nature/habitats/wetlands/

https://defenders.org/grasslands/basic-facts

How can remote sensing meet current environmental challenges ?

Increasing accessibility and maturity of methods and sensing technologies \rightarrow Original inputs for applications in ecology



How can remote sensing meet current environmental challenges ?

Increasing accessibility and maturity of methods and sensing technologies \rightarrow Original inputs for applications in ecology



- Introduction
- Explore spatial, temporal and spectral dimensions from space
- A quick dive into the spectral space
- Current missions and forthcoming opportunities
- Earth observation and biodiversity : one approach among many
- Conclusions and perspectives

Need to identify a trade-off satisfying application-specific requirements

• Spatial resolution

- Very high spatial resolution
 - Tree species identification
 - Habitat openness
 - Local analysis
- Medium / high spatial resolution
 - Ecosystem extent
 - Regional mapping
- Moderate resolution
 - Regional / Global mapping

ightarrow Requirements in terms of spatial extent and spatial grain (resolution) ?

 \rightarrow See next presentation from Marc Lang

Need to identify a trade-off satisfying application-specific requirements

- Spatial resolution
 - Very high spatial resolution
 - Medium / high spatial resolution
 - Moderate resolution







Need to identify a trade-off satisfying application-specific requirements

- Temporal resolution / revisit period
 - Vegetation phenology, Time series analysis & Intra / Interseasonal change detection
 - High temporal revisit = higher probability of acquisition with low cloud cover (tropics)
 - High temporal revisit + high spatial resolution = high volume of data

А Length of season Peak Ecosystem greenness EÓS SÓS Productivity 0 100 150 200 250 300 350 Calendar Day (Northern Hemisphere) B 🕯 Ecosystem greenness **Dynamic Habitat Index**

 \rightarrow See next presentation from David Sheeren

FORDEAD package For bark beetle detection

Bradley et al., 2012

Phenological metrics

Year 2

Year 1

Year 3

Year 4

2015-12-03

Need to identify a trade-off satisfying application-specific requirements

Spectral characteristics

- Spectral information is linked to chemical & structural properties of vegetation
- Possibility to assess various vegetation properties remotely (absorption & scattering) ٠
 - Pigment content (chlorophylls, carotenoids, anthocyanins) ٠
 - Water content •
 - Dry matter content (== Leaf Mass per Area) •
 - Protein content ٠
- Requirements in terms of spectral sampling and resolution to assess these properties



MULTISPECTRAL/BROADBAND (DISCRETE SAMPLING) VS HYPERSPECTRAL

Porcar-Castell et al., 2015

WAVELENGTH

Need to identify a trade-off satisfying application-specific requirements

- Spatial characteristics
- Temporal revisit
- Spectral characteristics
- \rightarrow 'More' does not necessarily mean 'Better'
- \rightarrow Need to identify appropriate methods corresponding to RS image type

High resolution satellite imagery for tropical biodiversity studies: the devil is in the detail

Harini Nagendra · Duccio Rocchini

Biodivers Conserv (2008) 17:3431–3442 DOI 10.1007/s10531-008-9479-0

- Introduction
- Explore spatial, temporal and spectral dimensions from space
- A quick dive into the spectral space
- Current missions and forthcoming opportunities
- Earth observation and biodiversity : one approach among many
- Conclusions and perspectives

What do optical measurements tell us about vegetation ?



<u>Sensor & acquisition characteristics</u> Spectral properties, spatial resolution, revisit period, geometry of acquisition...







EnM



From images to pixels Vegetation, soil, topography, atmosphere...



<u>Canopy structural & chemical properties</u> LAI, leaf orientation, clumping, Leaf optical properties ...

Leaf optical properties





<u>Foliar anatomy</u> Surface properties, thickness, internal structure, ...



Foliar chemistry Pigments, water, proteins, cellulose, ...

Optical signal (reflectance) results from interactions between light and matter (absorption & scattering from soil, vegetation & atmosphere)

What do optical measurements tell us about vegetation ?

- Leaf optical properties depend on biochemical and structural properties
 - Chemical and structural properties result from physiological, phenological & ecological response of plants to environment
 - Models based on physical description of light/matter interactions link leaf optical properties to chemical/structural properties

Evolution of visual aspect of a leaf (mature \rightarrow senescent)

Evolution of leaf optical properties (foliar reflectance VNIR)





15

Quick introduction to physical modeling (illustrative purpose)



- Foliar structure

PROSPECT

Reflectance & transmittance

Main leaf chemical constituents absorbing light



Evolution of PROSPECT model and integration into canopy models

- Successive versions of PROSPECT account for increasing nb of chemical constituents
- PROSPECT coupled with most canopy radiative transfer models (SAIL, SCOPE, DART...)



Increasing possibilities to integrate a variety of leaf traits and canopy structural properties (e.g. LiDAR) into realistic simulations for complex vegetation layer

- Understand the link between vegetation biophysical and optical properties
 - Influence of vegetation properties on canopy reflectance can be analyzed
 - Illustration: sensitivity of hyperspectral reflectance to CHL, PROT, EWT, LAI







- Understand the link between vegetation biophysical and optical properties
 - Influence of vegetation properties on canopy reflectance can be analyzed
 - Illustration: sensitivity of **Sentinel-2** reflectance to CHL, PROT, EWT, LAI







- Understand the link between vegetation biophysical and optical properties
 - Influence of vegetation properties on canopy reflectance can be analyzed
 - Illustration: sensitivity of SPOT-6 reflectance to CHL, PROT, EWT, LAI



- Understand the link between vegetation biophysical and optical properties
 - Influence of an individual property of vegetation
 - Sensitivity analysis of part or all properties of vegetation



- Predict vegetation biophysical properties from optical measurements
 - Model inversion : iterative optimization or hybrid inversion
 - Leaf scale: iterative optimization usually more accurate
 - <u>Canopy scale</u>: hybrid inversion or LUT more appropriate for image processing





See Verrelst et al. (2019) for a comprehensive overview of the techniques available to estimate vegetation properties with remote sensing data

Verrelst et al. (2019) Quantifying Vegetation Biophysical Variables from Imaging Spectroscopy Data: A Review on Retrieval Methods. Surveys in Geophysics 40, 589–629 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-018-9478-y Application of physical model inversion needs to account for computational efficiency in the context of big data processing

Various approaches applicable to estimate vegetation properties from RS data

- Regression / data-driven approaches
 - From spectral indices to machine learning & multivariate statistical algorithms
 - Computationally efficient
 - Data demanding (training + independent validation data)
- Inversion of radiative transfer models
 - Brut force inversion (iterative optimization) can be computationally demanding
 - No training stage, but validation requires data
- Hybrid approaches
 - Physical model: production of simulated training data
 - ML / statistical method: estimation from regression algorithm
 - Best of both worlds :
 - Requires experimental data for validation only
 - Computationally efficient

- Estimation of canopy properties (PROSAIL inversion)
 - PROSAIL: vegetation described as turbid layer
 - Theoretical domain of validity of the model : homogeneous canopies (agriculture, forestry)
 - Some versions of PROSAIL allow accounting for limited heterogeneity (clumping, layers...)
 - Application to heterogeneous vegetation can be explored with caution (uncertainty ↗)
 - Properties accessible from Sentinel-2 : LAI, CHL, EWT, LMA (uncertainty tbd)
 - Properties accessible from spectroscopy: LAI, CHL, CAR, ANT, EWT, LMA, PROT ...



https://jbferet.gitlab.io/prosail/index.html

- Simulating complex canopies using 3D modeling with DART
 - Integration of 3D structure (e.g. derived from LiDAR acquisitions)

 \rightarrow See next talk from Sylvie Durrieu

• Simulation of raster data corresponding to exact instrumental specifications

 \rightarrow Particularly useful for the preparation of future satellite missions

→Allows simulation of LiDAR data, fluorescence, thermal infrared...



Ebengo et al., 2021

Ebengo et al. (2021). Simulating Imaging Spectroscopy in Tropical Forest with 3D Radiative Transfer Modeling, *Remote Sensing*, 13(11) – 2120 https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13112120

- Various methods applicable to assess vegetation properties from optical images
- Available ground observation & capacity to take advantage of existing DB = Key
- Physical model inversion: strong potential for estimating optical traits
 - Extends possibilities of multi/hyperspectral analysis compared to spectral indices
 - Already integrated in commercial decision tools for precision agriculture
- Currently lacks maturity for routine global production (e.g. EBV framework)
 - Extensive, open & documented validation data (ground & RS) to consolidate
 - Spaceborne imaging spectroscopy in its infancy
 - Models and methods are increasingly available
 - Need to work on uncertainty assessment

ecology & evolution

PERSPECTIVE https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0667-3

OPEN

Towards global data products of Essential Biodiversity Variables on species traits

Kissling et al. (2018) Towards global data products of Essential Biodiversity Variables on species traits. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 2 – 1531-1540 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0667-3</u>

- Introduction
- Explore spatial, temporal and spectral dimensions from space
- A quick dive into the spectral space
- Current missions and forthcoming opportunities
- Earth observation and biodiversity : one approach among many
- Conclusions and perspectives

- Increasing number of Earth observation satellites available
 - Free and open data from operational & forthcoming missions (major space agencies)
 - ESA (Copernicus program): Sentinel satellites, CHIME (hyperspectral, 202x)
 - NASA: Landsat, MODIS, SBG (hyperspectral, 202x)
 - Scientific missions
 - EnMAP, FLEX...
 - Commercial satellites (originally mainly VHSR, expanding to multi & hyperspectral)
 - Airbus (Pleiades, SPOT)
 - Planet
- Imaging spectroscopy (hyperspectral) satellites
 - Operational: DESIS (DLR, 2018), Gaofen 5 (CASC, 2018), PRISMA (ASI, 2019), HISUI (JAXA, 2019), EnMAP (DLR, 2022), EMIT (NASA, 2022)

- Increasing number of Earth observation satellites available
 - Free and open data from operational & forthcoming missions (major space agencies)
 - ESA (Copernicus program): Sentinel satellites, CHIME (hyperspectral, 202x)
 - NASA: Landsat, MODIS, SBG (hyperspectral, 202x)
 - Scientific missions
 - EnMAP, FLEX...
 - Commercial satellites (originally mainly VHSR, expanding to multi & hyperspectral)
 - Airbus (Pleiades, SPOT)
 - Planet
- Imaging spectroscopy (hyperspectral) satellites
 - Operational: DESIS (DLR, 2018), Gaofen 5 (CASC, 2018), PRISMA (ASI, 2019), HISUI (JAXA, 2019), EnMAP (DLR, 2022), EMIT (NASA, 2022)
 - Future missions
 - with global coverage & 30 spatial res. : CHIME (ESA, 202x), SBG (NASA, 202x)
 - Decametric spatial resolution: Biodiversity (CNES, 202x)

Current missions and forthcoming opportunities

- Current imaging spectroscopy satellites do not compete with MS sensors to cover large areas
 - ightarrow IS as local source of information to prepare for upscaling



Sentinel-2 acquisition (6 tiles, 200 km x 300 km) vs EnMAP footprint (30 km) over tropical forest in Peru (~12 Gb)

Thank you !

Acknowledgements

TETIS engineering team

Research funded by Association Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR-17-CE32-0001 BIOCOP) CNES / TOSCA grant programs **HYPERTROPIK & HYPERBIO**

©I. Biagiotti@THEIA