

Observation de la Terre par imagerie optique : de la mesure physique à l'indicateur écologique (part I)

Jean-Baptiste Féret *UMR TETIS, INRAE — jb.feret@teledetection.fr*

Apports, intérêts, limites de la télédétection pour mieux connaître la biodiversité Atelier du métaprogramme BIOSEFAIR, 14 novembre 2023

- **Introduction**
- **Explore spatial, temporal and spectral dimensions from space**
- **A quick dive into the spectral space**
- **Current missions and forthcoming opportunities**
- **Earth observation and biodiversity : one approach among many**
- **Conclusions and perspectives**

Introduction & context

The erosion of biodiversity & ecological disturbances are increasing. Need for operational methods to monitor ecosystem dynamics through time & space

Remote sensing can provide information contributing to :

- **Monitor complex systems a various spatial scales (local to regional & global)**
- **Link Earth observation with ecological knowledge & climate data**
- **Feed regional / national / international statistics on biodiversity & ecosystem degradation**
- Fuel higher level models and analyses integrating ecology & socio-economical perspectives

What information do we need to monitor biodiversity with EBVs ?

What does 'biodiversity' encompass?

- Multidimensional concept linked to species, ecosystem functions & processes - Spatial organization & appropriate scale of analysis change with ecosystem types **No such 'One method / sensor / indicator fits all' Measuring and monitoring biodiversity requires a diversity of indicators to cover all ecosystems and multiple dimensions of biodiversity**

http://www.doc.govt.nz/nature/habitats/wetlands/

https://defenders.org/grasslands/basic-facts

How can remote sensing meet current environmental challenges ?

Increasing accessibility and maturity of methods and sensing technologies \rightarrow Original inputs for applications in ecology

How can remote sensing meet current environmental challenges ?

Increasing accessibility and maturity of methods and sensing technologies \rightarrow Original inputs for applications in ecology

- **Introduction**
- **Explore spatial, temporal and spectral dimensions from space**
- **A quick dive into the spectral space**
- **Current missions and forthcoming opportunities**
- **Earth observation and biodiversity : one approach among many**
- **Conclusions and perspectives**

Need to identify a trade-off satisfying application-specific requirements

• **Spatial resolution**

- Very high spatial resolution
	- Tree species identification
	- Habitat openness
	- Local analysis
- Medium / high spatial resolution
	- Ecosystem extent
	- Regional mapping
- Moderate resolution
	- Regional / Global mapping

 \rightarrow Requirements in terms of spatial extent and spatial grain (resolution)?

 \rightarrow See next presentation from Marc Lang

Need to identify a trade-off satisfying application-specific requirements

- **Spatial resolution**
	- Very high spatial resolution
	- Medium / high spatial resolution
	- Moderate resolution

Need to identify a trade-off satisfying application-specific requirements

- **Temporal resolution / revisit period**
	- Vegetation phenology, Time series analysis & Intra / Interseasonal change detection
	- High temporal revisit = higher probability of acquisition with low cloud cover (tropics)
	- High temporal revisit $+$ high spatial resolution $=$ high volume of data

\rightarrow See next presentation from David Sheeren

Need to identify a trade-off satisfying application-specific requirements

- **Spectral characteristics**
	- Spectral information is linked to chemical & structural properties of vegetation
	- Possibility to assess various vegetation properties remotely (absorption & scattering)
		- Pigment content (chlorophylls, carotenoids, anthocyanins)
		- Water content
		- Dry matter content (== Leaf Mass per Area)
		- Protein content
	- Requirements in terms of spectral sampling and resolution to assess these properties

[Porcar-Castell et al., 2015](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-6103-2015)

WAVELENGTH

Need to identify a trade-off satisfying application-specific requirements

- **Spatial characteristics**
- **Temporal revisit**
- **Spectral characteristics**
- **'More' does not necessarily mean 'Better'**
- \rightarrow Need to identify appropriate methods corresponding to RS image type

High resolution satellite imagery for tropical biodiversity studies: the devil is in the detail

Harini Nagendra · Duccio Rocchini

Biodivers Conserv (2008) 17:3431-3442 DOI 10.1007/s10531-008-9479-0

- **Introduction**
- **Explore spatial, temporal and spectral dimensions from space**
- **A quick dive into the spectral space**
- **Current missions and forthcoming opportunities**
- **Earth observation and biodiversity : one approach among many**
- **Conclusions and perspectives**

What do optical measurements tell us about vegetation ?

Sensor & acquisition characteristics Spectral properties, spatial resolution, revisit period, geometry of acquisition…

From images to pixels Vegetation, soil, topography, atmosphere…

Canopy structural & chemical properties LAI, leaf orientation, clumping, **Leaf optical properties** …

Leaf optical properties

Foliar anatomy Surface properties, thickness, internal structure, …

Foliar chemistry Pigments, water, proteins, cellulose, …

Optical signal (reflectance) results from interactions between light and matter (absorption & scattering from soil, vegetation & atmosphere)

What do optical measurements tell us about vegetation ?

- **Leaf optical properties depend on biochemical and structural properties** •**Leaf optical properties depend on biochemical and structural properties**
	- Chemical and structural properties result from physiological, phenological & ecological •Chemical and structural properties result from physiological, phenological & ecological response of plants to environment
	- Models based on physical description of light/matter interactions link leaf optical properties to chemical/structural properties

Evolution of visual aspect of a leaf (mature \rightarrow senescent)

Evolution of leaf optical properties (foliar reflectance VNIR)

15

Quick introduction to physical modeling (illustrative purpose)

- **- Chemical constituents**
- **- Foliar structure**

PROSPECT

Directional hemispherical Reflectance & transmittance

Evolution of PROSPECT model and integration into canopy models

- Successive versions of PROSPECT account for increasing nb of chemical constituents
- PROSPECT coupled with most canopy radiative transfer models (SAIL, SCOPE, DART…)

Increasing possibilities to integrate a variety of leaf traits and canopy structural properties (e.g. LiDAR) into realistic simulations for complex vegetation layer

- **Understand the link between vegetation biophysical and optical properties**
	- Influence of vegetation properties on canopy reflectance can be analyzed
	- Illustration: sensitivity of **hyperspectral** reflectance to CHL, PROT, EWT, LAI

- **Understand the link between vegetation biophysical and optical properties**
	- Influence of vegetation properties on canopy reflectance can be analyzed
	- Illustration: sensitivity of **Sentinel-2** reflectance to CHL, PROT, EWT, LAI

- **Understand the link between vegetation biophysical and optical properties**
	- Influence of vegetation properties on canopy reflectance can be analyzed
	- Illustration: sensitivity of **SPOT-6** reflectance to CHL, PROT, EWT, LAI

- **Understand the link between vegetation biophysical and optical properties**
	- Influence of an individual property of vegetation
	- Sensitivity analysis of part or all properties of vegetation

- **Predict vegetation biophysical properties from optical measurements**
	- Model inversion : iterative optimization or hybrid inversion
		- Leaf scale: iterative optimization usually more accurate
		- *Canopy scale:* hybrid inversion or LUT more appropriate for image processing

See Verrelst et al. (2019) for a comprehensive overview of the techniques available to estimate vegetation properties with remote sensing data

Verrelst et al. (2019) Quantifying Vegetation Biophysical Variables from Imaging Spectroscopy Data: A Review on Retrieval Methods. *Surveys in Geophysics* 40, 589–629 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-018-9478-y>

Application of physical model inversion needs to account for computational efficiency in the context of big data processing

Various approaches applicable to estimate vegetation properties from RS data

- **Regression / data-driven approaches**
	- From spectral indices to machine learning & multivariate statistical algorithms
	- Computationally efficient
	- Data demanding (training + independent validation data)
- **Inversion of radiative transfer models**
	- Brut force inversion (iterative optimization) can be computationally demanding
	- No training stage, but validation requires data
- **Hybrid approaches**
	- Physical model: production of simulated training data
	- ML / statistical method: estimation from regression algorithm
	- Best of both worlds :
		- Requires experimental data for validation only
		- Computationally efficient

- **Estimation of canopy properties (PROSAIL inversion)**
	- PROSAIL: vegetation described as turbid layer
		- Theoretical domain of validity of the model : homogeneous canopies (agriculture, forestry)
		- Some versions of PROSAIL allow accounting for limited heterogeneity (clumping, layers…)
		- Application to heterogeneous vegetation can be explored with caution (uncertainty \mathcal{A})
	- Properties accessible from Sentinel-2 : **LAI, CHL, EWT, LMA** (uncertainty tbd)
	- Properties accessible from spectroscopy: **LAI, CHL, CAR, ANT, EWT, LMA, PROT** …

<https://jbferet.gitlab.io/prosail/index.html>

- **Simulating complex canopies using 3D modeling with DART**
	- Integration of 3D structure (e.g. derived from LiDAR acquisitions)

 \rightarrow See next talk from Sylvie Durrieu

• Simulation of raster data corresponding to exact instrumental specifications

 \rightarrow Particularly useful for the preparation of future satellite missions

 \rightarrow Allows simulation of LiDAR data, fluorescence, thermal infrared...

[Ebengo et al., 2021](https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13112120)

Ebengo et al. (2021). Simulating Imaging Spectroscopy in Tropical Forest with 3D Radiative Transfer Modeling, *Remote Sensing*, 13(11) – 2120 <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13112120>

- **Various methods applicable to assess vegetation properties from optical images**
- **Available ground observation & capacity to take advantage of existing DB = Key**
- **Physical model inversion: strong potential for estimating optical traits**
	- **Extends possibilities of multi/hyperspectral analysis compared to spectral indices**
	- **Already integrated in commercial decision tools for precision agriculture**
- **Currently lacks maturity for routine global production (e.g. EBV framework)**
	- Extensive, open & documented validation data (ground & RS) to consolidate
	- Spaceborne imaging spectroscopy in its infancy
	- Models and methods are increasingly available
	- Need to work on uncertainty assessment

nature ecology & evolution

PERSPECTIVE https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0667-3

OPEN

Towards global data products of Essential **Biodiversity Variables on species traits**

Kissling et al. (2018) Towards global data products of Essential Biodiversity Variables on species traits. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 2 – 1531-1540<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0667-3>

- **Introduction**
- **Explore spatial, temporal and spectral dimensions from space**
- **A quick dive into the spectral space**
- **Current missions and forthcoming opportunities**
- **Earth observation and biodiversity : one approach among many**
- **Conclusions and perspectives**
- Increasing number of Earth observation satellites available
	- Free and open data from operational & forthcoming missions (major space agencies)
		- ESA (Copernicus program): Sentinel satellites, CHIME (hyperspectral, 202x)
		- NASA: Landsat, MODIS, SBG (hyperspectral, 202x)
	- Scientific missions
		- EnMAP, FLEX…
	- Commercial satellites (originally mainly VHSR, expanding to multi & hyperspectral)
		- Airbus (Pleiades, SPOT)
		- Planet
- Imaging spectroscopy (hyperspectral) satellites
	- Operational: DESIS (DLR, 2018), Gaofen 5 (CASC, 2018), PRISMA (ASI, 2019), HISUI (JAXA, 2019), EnMAP (DLR, 2022), EMIT (NASA, 2022)
- Increasing number of Earth observation satellites available
	- Free and open data from operational & forthcoming missions (major space agencies)
		- ESA (Copernicus program): Sentinel satellites, CHIME (hyperspectral, 202x)
		- NASA: Landsat, MODIS, SBG (hyperspectral, 202x)
	- Scientific missions
		- EnMAP, FLEX…
	- Commercial satellites (originally mainly VHSR, expanding to multi & hyperspectral)
		- Airbus (Pleiades, SPOT)
		- Planet
- Imaging spectroscopy (hyperspectral) satellites
	- Operational: DESIS (DLR, 2018), Gaofen 5 (CASC, 2018), PRISMA (ASI, 2019), HISUI (JAXA, 2019), EnMAP (DLR, 2022), EMIT (NASA, 2022)
	- **Future missions**
		- **with global coverage & 30 spatial res. : CHIME (ESA, 202x), SBG (NASA, 202x)**
		- **Decametric spatial resolution: Biodiversity (CNES, 202x)**

Current missions and forthcoming opportunities

• Current imaging spectroscopy satellites do not compete with MS sensors to cover large areas

 \rightarrow IS as local source of information to prepare for upscaling

Sentinel-2 acquisition (6 tiles, 200 km x 300 km) vs EnMAP footprint (30 km) over tropical forest in Peru (~12 Gb)

Thank you !

Acknowledgements

TETIS engineering team

Research funded by Association Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR-17-CE32-0001 **BIOCOP**) CNES / TOSCA grant programs **HYPERTROPIK** & **HYPERBIO**

©I. Biagiotti@THEIA